Drone-Based Automatic External Defibrillators for Sudden Death?

Do We Need More Courage or More Serenity?

Article, see p 2454

The Serenity Prayer asks for the courage to change what can be changed, the serenity to accept what cannot be changed, and the wisdom to know the difference. Medicine demands large doses of all three. The greatest difficulty is deciding when the times call for more courage or more serenity. Some of our problems seem so intractable that we stop expecting progress during our professional lifetimes. Occasionally, however, advances completely unrelated to medicine give us cause to reconsider the comfort of our serenity-courage balance.

Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest is one of medicine's most vexing public health problems. Decades of research have failed to reveal any test that is sufficiently accurate to identify people who will have a sudden cardiac arrest (SCA) before the catastrophic event occurs. Huge research efforts have been expended to identify best resuscitation practices at the time of the SCA event (eg, how many inches of chest compression, at what rate, and with what ventilation strategy; airway management; and what adjuvant drug therapies) and best treatment practices in the hours and days following (including targeted temperature management and early coronary angiography). Community-wide emergency medical service (EMS) systems have been created to deliver interventions to SCA patients with a goal of arriving within 8 minutes of the 9-1-1 call at least 90% of the time. However, despite improvements in prehospital systems of care and bystander resuscitative efforts, absolute survival for out-of-hospital SCA has remained at or below 10%.¹⁻³

Glimmers of the possibility of much higher survival rates have appeared in the form of observational reports of automatic external defibrillator (AED) use. The first AED was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in 1998. Early work showed that when SCAs occurred in well-populated public spaces with an AED close at hand and a shock was delivered by bystanders, 30-day survival rates reached 40% (airplane) to 74% (casino, shock within 3 minutes).^{4,5} The PAD trial (Public Access Defibrillator; a test of best-case static AED coverage) compared the use of AEDs plus cardiopulmonary resuscitation with cardiopulmonary resuscitation alone in 993 "community units" (shopping malls, recreational areas, hotels).⁶ The AED intervention arm was intended to deliver an AED to a cardiac arrest scene within 3 minutes. Fourteen percent of the 107 subjects with definite cardiac arrest survived to hospital discharge in the cardiopulmonary resuscitation-only arm compared with 23% of the 128 in the cardiopulmonary resuscitation plus AED arm. However, even with such encouraging proof of concept, the potential of rapid AED use has proven surprisingly difficult to generalize to the community level, and bystander defibrillation rates have remained low.^{1,2,7}

The 2 current options for getting an AED to the site of a cardiac arrest in a timely fashion are placing static AEDs in strategic (largely public) locations, which requires bystanders to find and retrieve the devices, and getting first responders to bring

Daniel B. Mark, MD, MPH Steen M. Hansen, MD Monique L. Starks, MD Mary L. Cummings, PhD

The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the editors or of the American Heart Association.

Correspondence to: Daniel B. Mark, MD, MPH, Duke Clinical Research Institute, PO Box 17969, Durham, NC 27715. E-mail daniel. mark@duke.edu

Key Words: Editorials ■ defibrillators ■ emergency medical services ■ heart arrest

© 2017 American Heart Association, Inc.

EDITORIAL

an AED to the site. Placement of onsite AEDs in public locations can result in improved bystander defibrillation rates, as shown in a Danish study in which the number of registered static AEDs increased from 141 in 2007 to 7800 in 2012 and corresponding bystander defibrillation rates in public locations increased from 1% to 15%.⁸ However, current community-level AED coverage patterns are highly variable in terms of both geographic location and hours of accessibility.^{9,10} Furthermore, most SCAs (70%–85%) occur at home where the efficacy of static AEDs has proved limited.^{2,8,11} Adding options for AED delivery by first responders can reduce arrival time,^{12,13} but the impact on overall outcomes has been quite small.¹⁴

Thus, although multiple lines of evidence support the expectation that very rapid AED use can produce a much greater increment in survival than any prior therapeutic strategy tested so far, no one has yet managed to effectively realize this potential at the community level. Three factors must be considered when trying to engineer a "chain-of-survival" process to deliver AEDs to SCA victims in a 3-minute time window: recognition, deployment, and use. The first step is rapid determination that an SCA has taken place. This alone is extremely challenging because >50% of out-of-hospital arrests are unwitnessed and these patients are often not resuscitated.^{5,12–14} Even when a witness is present, delays in recognition of the nature of the emergency are common.¹⁵ The second step is getting an AED to the scene within 3 minutes, and the third step is using the AED.

One novel and potentially revolutionary solution to this problem is an AED-equipped drone, which in theory can be flown to a witnessed out-of-hospital arrest within several minutes of a 9-1-1 call. One such AED-equipped drone prototype, reported to have the ability to achieve speeds >60 mph and using global positioning system and cell phone technology to reach the target location, was demonstrated in 2014 in the Netherlands.¹⁶ The primary advantage that a drone-delivered AED offers relative to traditional EMS/rescue delivery is reduced travel time. Drones can fly very rapidly in a straight line from the dispatch site to a target location without hindrance from winding roads, meandering motorists, or gridlocked traffic jams. In addition, unlike static publicaccess AEDs, the drone-delivered AED can be brought guickly to where it is needed without a frantic, inefficient search as precious seconds tick by.

In a world where Amazon is developing drone-based package delivery and drones are already being used in rural Africa to deliver time-sensitive lifesaving medicines, differentiating science fiction from the technology of tomorrow is not so easy. At least 4 formidable challenges still must be overcome to move drone delivery of AEDs within 3 minutes of a 9-1-1 call from a "cool idea" to an integral part of the chain of survival in communities: regulation, drone technology improvements, deployment strategy across a region, and integration into current EMS command and control structures.

Three groups have now published studies addressing the third of these 4 challenges by combining empirical historical arrest data with mathematical modeling (optimization and queuing) techniques to examine different deployment patterns for drone-delivered AEDs. In this issue of Circulation, Boutilier and colleagues¹⁷ from the University of Toronto examined this topic using 8 years of data on 54000 out-of-hospital arrests in the Toronto Regional RescuNET (8 regions in southern Ontario; total population, 7.1 million; total area, 26000 km²). Proposed drone stations across the region were selected from all existing fire, paramedic, and police stations, and the drones were assumed to fly ≈ 60 mph at a height of 197 ft with 10 seconds required for takeoff and landing. To reduce the time to arrival of an AED by 3 minutes relative to historical EMS/first responder arrival times, Boutilier et al¹⁷ predicted that 81 bases and 100 drones would be needed. If all the drones were coordinated through an integrated central command/dispatch center, the projected number of bases and drones was reduced by 30% to 40%, but this efficiency was achieved in part by reducing coverage for more remote portions of the service region. The number of drones required to achieve the desired performance was sensitive to decisions about how to handle "drone busy time," when a call comes in and the closest drone is already out on another call or is being recharged after returning from an earlier call. Should there be a backup drone at that site (or >1 drone for densely populated metropolitan areas), or should bases cross-cover each other? Tradeoffs also affected how guickly a drone could reach arrests in the more remote rural areas of the county.

Thus, the problem of how to deploy drones across a geographic region to deliver AEDs to a cardiac arrest minutes faster than EMS/first responders seems tractable. However, at present, the regulatory hurdles are probably the most formidable. The Federal Aviation Administration regulates all unmanned aerial vehicle (or drone) use in the United States and strictly limits drone use to operator line of sight. Some countries follow the United States in this manner, whereas others (eg, Canada, United Kingdom) are currently somewhat more permissive. The Federal Aviation Administration will require extensive proof of safety before authorizing any community or organization to set up a network of AED delivery drones.

To be cost-effective, highly reliable all-weather AED delivery drones need to be developed that are small, very fast, and capable of carrying an AED (eg, 2–3 lb), which is a typical payload of many commercially available small drones. However, complex tradeoffs exist between onboard weight, performance (eg, speed, maximum travel range), and cost. To move AED-equipped drones beyond demonstration prototypes will require the

addition of onboard collision avoidance technologies and radar to be able to operate in all weather conditions (including high winds, rain, snow, and fog). Any additional sensors or improved batteries add weight, which then reduces speed and range.

In addition, each community will have to decide not only how to deploy the drones but also how to integrate them into the existing EMS networks, who pilots the drones, who maintains them, how much backup redundancy is needed, and how all of this gets paid for. Moving the human pilot's role to direct control from line-of-sight operation will require the development of an expert workforce to oversee the flights of multiple drones at any time and to ensure the safety of the drones and people on the ground.

Once these technical, logistic, and regulatory issues are solved, we will still need large-scale studies to prove that drone delivery of an AED within 3 minutes of a 9-1-1 call can materially affect population-level survival after cardiac arrest and is economically feasible. Thus, for most of us, the serenity-courage balance for drone-delivered AEDs will continue to demand more serenity, for now. Many of the technical advances needed and regulatory assurances required by the Federal Aviation Administration will likely be driven by companies desiring to use drones for package delivery and other commercial applications. Although the path forward is not easy, we hope that some of the most courageous among us will invest the difficult years of work it will likely take to see whether drone delivery of AEDs can be the SCA game changer we desperately need.

DISCLOSURES

Dr Mark reports consultant/advisory board fees from Medtronic and research grant support from Eli Lilly, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Gilead Sciences, AGA Medical, Merck, Oxygen Biotherapeutics, and AstraZeneca. Dr Hansen reports research grant support from the Danish Heart Foundation and the Laerdal Foundation. The other authors report no conflicts.

AFFILIATIONS

From Duke Clinical Research Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC (D.B.M., S.M.H., M.L.S.); Department of Clinical Epidemiology, Aalborg University Hospital, Denmark (S.M.H.); and Duke Robotics, Duke Pratt School of Engineering, Durham, NC (M.L.C.).

FOOTNOTES

Circulation is available at http://circ.ahajournals.org.

REFERENCES

 Chan PS, McNally B, Tang F, Kellermann A; CARES Surveillance Group. Recent trends in survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the United States. *Circulation*. 2014;130:1876–1882. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.009711.

- Wissenberg M, Lippert FK, Folke F, Weeke P, Hansen CM, Christensen EF, Jans H, Hansen PA, Lang-Jensen T, Olesen JB, Lindhardsen J, Fosbol EL, Nielsen SL, Gislason GH, Kober L, Torp-Pedersen C. Association of national initiatives to improve cardiac arrest management with rates of bystander intervention and patient survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. JAMA. 2013;310:1377–1384. doi: 10.1001/ jama.2013.278483.
- Malta Hansen C, Kragholm K, Pearson DA, Tyson C, Monk L, Myers B, Nelson D, Dupre ME, Fosbøl EL, Jollis JG, Strauss B, Anderson ML, McNally B, Granger CB. Association of bystander and firstresponder intervention with survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in North Carolina, 2010-2013. *JAMA*. 2015;314:255–264. doi: 10.1001/jama.2015.7938.
- Valenzuela TD, Roe DJ, Nichol G, Clark LL, Spaite DW, Hardman RG. Outcomes of rapid defibrillation by security officers after cardiac arrest in casinos. N Engl J Med. 2000;343:1206–1209. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200010263431701.
- Page RL, Joglar JA, Kowal RC, Zagrodzky JD, Nelson LL, Ramaswamy K, Barbera SJ, Hamdan MH, McKenas DK. Use of automated external defibrillators by a U.S. airline. N Engl J Med. 2000;343:1210–1216. doi: 10.1056/ NEJM200010263431702.
- Hallstrom AP, Ornato JP, Weisfeldt M, Travers A, Christenson J, McBurnie MA, Zalenski R, Becker LB, Schron EB, Proschan M; Public Access Defibrillation Trial Investigators. Public-access defibrillation and survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med. 2004;351:637–646. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa040566.
- Weisfeldt ML, Sitlani CM, Ornato JP, Rea T, Aufderheide TP, Davis D, Dreyer J, Hess EP, Jui J, Maloney J, Sopko G, Powell J, Nichol G, Morrison LJ; ROC Investigators. Survival after application of automatic external defibrillators before arrival of the emergency medical system: evaluation in the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium population of 21 million. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;55:1713–1720. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2009.11.077.
- Hansen SM, Hansen CM, Folke F, Rajan S, Kragholm K, Ejlskov L, Gislason G, Kober L, Gerds TA, Hjortshoj S, Lippert F, Torp-Pedersen C, Wissenberg M. Bystander defibrillation for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in public vs residential locations [published online ahead of print March 15, 2017]. JAMA Cardiol. doi: 10.1001/ jamacardio.2017.0008. http://jamanetwork.com/journals/jamacardiology/article-abstract/2611935.
- Hansen CM, Wissenberg M, Weeke P, Ruwald MH, Lamberts M, Lippert FK, Gislason GH, Nielsen SL, Køber L, Torp-Pedersen C, Folke F. Automated external defibrillators inaccessible to more than half of nearby cardiac arrests in public locations during evening, nighttime, and weekends. *Circulation*. 2013;128:2224– 2231. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.003066.
- Griffis HM, Band RA, Ruther M, Harhay M, Asch DA, Hershey JC, Hill S, Nadkarni L, Kilaru A, Branas CC, Shofer F, Nichol G, Becker LB, Merchant RM. Employment and residential characteristics in relation to automated external defibrillator locations. *Am Heart J.* 2016;172:185–191. doi: 10.1016/j.ahj.2015.09.022.
- Bardy GH, Lee KL, Mark DB, Poole JE, Toff WD, Tonkin AM, Smith W, Dorian P, Packer DL, White RD, Longstreth WT Jr, Anderson J, Johnson G, Bischoff E, Yallop JJ, McNulty S, Ray LD, Clapp-Channing NE, Rosenberg Y, Schron EB; HAT Investigators. Home use of automated external defibrillators for sudden cardiac arrest. N Engl J Med. 2008;358:1793–1804. doi: 10.1056/NEJ-Moa0801651.
- Zijlstra JA, Stieglis R, Riedijk F, Smeekes M, van der Worp WE, Koster RW. Local lay rescuers with AEDs, alerted by text messages, contribute to early defibrillation in a Dutch out-of-hospital cardiac arrest dispatch system. *Resuscitation*. 2014;85:1444– 1449. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2014.07.020.

EDITORIAL

- Blom MT, Beesems SG, Homma PC, Zijlstra JA, Hulleman M, van Hoeijen DA, Bardai A, Tijssen JG, Tan HL, Koster RW. Improved survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and use of automated external defibrillators. *Circulation*. 2014;130:1868–1875. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.010905.
- Nordberg P, Jonsson M, Forsberg S, Ringh M, Fredman D, Riva G, Hasselqvist-Ax I, Hollenberg J. The survival benefit of dual dispatch of EMS and fire-fighters in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest may differ depending on population density: a prospective cohort study. *Resuscitation*. 2015;90:143–149. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.02.036.
- 15. Becker L, Husain S, Kudenchuk P, Doll A, Rea T, Eisenberg M. Treatment of cardiac arrest with rapid defibrillation by police in King County, Washington. *Prehosp Emerg Care*. 2014;18:22–27. doi: 10.3109/10903127.2013.825353.
- TU Delft ambulance drone. https://youtu.be/y-rEl4bezWc. Accessed May 19, 2017.
- Boutilier JJ, Brooks SC, Janmohamed A, Byers A, Buick JE, Zhan C, Schoellig AP, Cheskes S, Morrison LJ, Chan TCY; on behalf of the Rescu Epistry Investigators. Optimizing a drone network to deliver automated external defibrillators. *Circulation*. 2017;135:2454– 2465. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.026318.





Drone-Based Automatic External Defibrillators for Sudden Death?: Do We Need More Courage or More Serenity?

Daniel B. Mark, Steen M. Hansen, Monique L. Starks and Mary L. Cummings

Circulation. 2017;135:2466-2469 doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.117.027888 Circulation is published by the American Heart Association, 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231 Copyright © 2017 American Heart Association, Inc. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0009-7322. Online ISSN: 1524-4539

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at: http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/135/25/2466

Permissions: Requests for permissions to reproduce figures, tables, or portions of articles originally published in *Circulation* can be obtained via RightsLink, a service of the Copyright Clearance Center, not the Editorial Office. Once the online version of the published article for which permission is being requested is located, click Request Permissions in the middle column of the Web page under Services. Further information about this process is available in the Permissions and Rights Question and Answer document.

Reprints: Information about reprints can be found online at: http://www.lww.com/reprints

Subscriptions: Information about subscribing to *Circulation* is online at: http://circ.ahajournals.org//subscriptions/